

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/342,348		06/29/1999	TIMOTHY J. BROSNIHAN	07043/060002	07043/060002 6423 EXAMINER	
26181	7590	03/02/2005		EXAM		
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 3300 DAIN RAUSCHER PLAZA				MAI, ANH D		
MINNEAPO				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE		
	<b>,</b>	,		2814		
				DATE MAILED: 03/02/200	ATE MAILED: 03/02/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_		Application No.	Applicant(a)				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/342,348	BROSNIHAN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Anh D. Mai	2814				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE - External control	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 results (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron , cause the application to become ABANDONI	mety filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 D	<u>ecember 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) This	action is non-final.					
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	tion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-12,23 and 25-31</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5, 7-12, 23 and 25-31</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	•						
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	tion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority document		ı)-(d) or (f).				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applica	tion No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau						
*	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receiv	ed.				
Attachme	nt(s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar	y (PTO-413)				
2) Noti	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	ratent Application (#10-152)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Status of the Claims

1. Amendment filed December 14, 2004 has been entered. Claims 2, 29 and 30 have been amended. Claims 1-5, 7-12, 23 and 25-31 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 7-12, 23, 25 and 28-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bashir et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,747,353) in view of Hunter et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,631,803) all of record.

With respect to claim 1, Bashir teaches a method of fabricating a microelectromechanical system substantially as claimed including:

providing a substrate having a device layer (106), a handle layer (102) and a sacrificial layer (104) between the device layer (106) and the handle layer (102);

etching a first trench (121) in the device layer (106);

forming a dielectric isolation layer in the first trench (121) to form an isolation trench; etching a second trench (120) in the device layer (106), the second trench (120) defining a microstructure (142/144) including a plurality of elements (142/144) laterally anchored to the

isolation trench such that the isolation trench (121) provides electrical isolation for the anchored elements (144) of the microstructure from each other; and

Page 3

removing a portion of the sacrificial layer (104), wherein the removed portion entirely undercut the plurality of laterally anchored elements (144). (See Figs. 1-9, col. 3-col. 10).

Note that, the dielectric isolation layer of Bashir is formed by thermal oxidizing the exposed device layer (106) followed by depositing a polysilicon layer to form an isolation trench (similar to that of the present invention, page 12, line 27).

Thus, Bashir is shown to teach all the features of the claim with the exception of forming the isolation trench including depositing a dielectric isolation layer in the first trench.

However, Hunter teaches forming an isolation trench including: depositing a dielectric isolation layer (40) in the trench (36) to from an isolation trench. (See Fig. 2).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to form the isolation trench of Bashir by depositing a dielectric isolation layer (40) in the first trench as taught by Hunter to eliminate the formation of defects in the surrounding semiconductor substrate.

With respect to claim 4, the method of Bashir further includes depositing a filler material (poly) over the isolation layer (thermal oxide) in the trench (121).

With respect to claim 5, in view of Hunter, the isolation layer fills the first trench.

With respect to claim 7, removing a portion of the sacrificial layer (104) of Bashir includes releasing the microstructure (See Fig. 8).

With respect to claims 8 and 9, etching the first (121) and second (120) trenches of Bashir etch through the device layer (106) to expose the sacrificial layer (104).

With respect to claim 10, the sacrificial layer (104) of Bashir includes silicon dioxide.

With respect to claim 11, wherein the device layer (106) of Bashir includes epitaxial silicon.

With respect to claim 12, the isolation layer (40) of Hunter includes silicon nitride.

With respect to claim 23, etching the second trench (120) of Bashir includes etching a portion of the device layer (106) that abuts the first trench (121). (See Fig. 8).

With respect to claim 25, etching the second trench (120) of Bashir includes forming at least one movable element (142) and at least one generally immobile element (144).

With respect to claim 28, removed a portion of the sacrificial layer (104) of Bashir at least partially undercuts the isolation trench (121). (See Fig. 8).

With respect to claim 29, the first trench (121) of Bashir surrounds a region of the device layer (106).

With respect to claim 30, the first trench (121) of Bashir electrically isolates a first region of the device from a second region of the device layer.

With respect to claim 31, the second trench (120) is located in the first region.

With respect to claim 2, the method of Bashir further includes forming circuitry in a second region of the device layer outside the first region.

With respect to claim 3, Bashir teaches deposition of a metal layer, patterning of the metal layer to define the contacts. (See col. 5, Il. 39-50).

Thus, Bashir is shown to teach all the features of the claim with the exception of explicitly disclosing the connection of the microstructure to the circuitry.

However, Bashir clearly implies the formation of the metal layer is to connecting the microcircuit to the control circuit in the second region.

3. Claims 26 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bashir '353 and Hunter '803 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Peeters et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,637,189) of record.

Bashir teaches the first and second trenches are etched using an anisotropic RIE etch process.

Thus, Bashir is shown to teach all the features of the claim with the exception of explicitly using ICP.

However, Peeters teaches inductively coupled plasma (ICP) is one of many etching process known in the art to be reactive ions etch (RIE). (See col. 7, lines 3-20).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to etch the first and second trench of Bashir using ICP etcher as taught by Peeters because this dry etch process is well suited for construction of dimensionally accurate microdevices.

# Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed December 14, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 2814

Applicant states: after receiving the Notice of Allowability, the applicant submitted a foreign search report along with a *preliminary amendment*. In the preliminary amendment, claim 1 was broadened by removing the limitation that the isolation trench electrically isolates a first region from a second region of the substrate.

Since the scope of the claim has been broadened and new application was filed, PTO must reexamine the claim accordingly. Therefore, the subsequence Office Action is proper.

### Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. 103

Applicant argues: although Bashir discloses an anchor trench 121 that can isolate a region in the wafer, Bashir's anchor trench 121 does not provide electrical isolation for the anchored elements of the microstructure from each other.

Contrary to the Applicant assertion, the anchor elements 144, are clearly isolated from one another. As shown in Fig. 9, anchor elements 144 are attached to a dielectric layer thus, electrically isolated from each other.

Applicant adds: Bashir discloses that the sidewall oxide can be removed from the anchor trench 121 so that the subsequent filling of the trenches with polysilicon will cause the polysilicon to come into contact with the substrate 102 and silicon layer 106.

Then concludes: that is, Bashir's device works without the sidewall oxide, i.e., a dielectric isolation.

Regarding the polysilicon, even though the polysilicon is in contact with the substrate, however, the anchor elements 144 are still isolated from each other because they are attached to the oxide layer, which is formed on the sidewall of the polysilicon.

Regarding the Applicant's conclusion, contrary to the Applicant's conclusion, Bashir, col. 7, lines 38-53, clearly discloses: "the electrode 144 is supported by PE nitride layer 136 and the sidewall oxide in the adjacent trench".

The principle operation of the micromachined accelerometer is well known. It requires fixed (144) and movable (142) electrodes. These electrodes can not and should not contact each other. The mask might or might not completely show the details, however, the principle operation of the accelerometer must be strictly adhere to or the device would not function as designed.

Hunter is cited to show an alternative formation of an isolation trench structure, which is required by the device of Bashir. Thus, the combination of the references clearly renders the claim obvious.

#### Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Art Unit: 2814

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anh D. Mai whose telephone number is (571) 272-1710. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on (571) 272-1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Maray D. Mai

February 24, 200